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#### Abstract

The complexes $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{R}\right)\left\{\mu-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{R}-3\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}\right.$ (2), Me), $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left\{\mu_{3}-\mathrm{PPhCH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-2\right)\right\}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}-$ $\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}\right.$, OMe (5)), $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NPh}\right)_{n}(\mathrm{CO})_{10-n}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}(n=1(6), 2)$ and $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{S}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ have been obtained from reactions between $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]^{+}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{OMe})$ and the 'parent' $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}$ clusters; X-ray structural studies of $\mathbf{2}, 5$ and 6 show that a CO group has been replaced by an $\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{2}$ fragment. In contrast, the two $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ groups bridge opposite $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Ru}$ bonds  $\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{n}(n=1,2)$ were obtained from $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}$ and $\mathrm{Os}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}$ respectively.
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## 1. Introduction

There is still much interest in the chemistry of metal cluster complexes which contain $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)$ fragments. This stems from the early work of Lewis and Nyholm [1] some 30 years ago and has continued unabated since then, with periodic invigorations resulting from the recognition of the isolobal properties of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$and $\left[\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}$[2], the development of homonuclear gold cluster chemistry [3], and preparations of so-called 'clusters of clusters', including compounds containing up to 38 atoms described by Teo et al. [4]. Several reviews are available [3,5].

Many reactions leading to the incorporation of one $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)$ fragment into metal clusters have been described [5a,c]. In some cases, repetition of the reaction has given clusters containing two such units. Some years ago, we [6] and later, others [7], described reactions of the trigold-oxonium cation $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]^{+}$ with metal cluster hydrides or anions to form a range of complexes containing two, three or four $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$

[^0]groups. Since then, we have also communicated the use of this cation in the presence of a nucleophile such as acetate or $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]^{-}$to introduce two $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ groups, or an $\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ moiety, into selected cluster complexes [ 8 ].

This paper describes experiments designed to give complexes containing two $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)$ groups, while a following paper [9] will describe complexes containing three such groups. Complexes containing $\mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{Ru}_{3}$ cores have been reported to show fluxional behaviour in solution, whereby the Au atoms exchange positions in the trigonal pyramidal metal cluster [10]. A series of structurally determined $\mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{Ru}_{3}$ geometries has been used to map the reaction coordinate of the postulated mechanism for this exchange (a Berry pseudo-rotation process) [11]. The molecular structures of several of the complexes described below further illustrate this feature.

## 2. Results and discussion

We have investigated the reactions of $[O\{\mathrm{Au}-$ $\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]^{+}$(1a) with a number of triruthenium and
triosmium clusters using $[\mathrm{ppn}]\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ as an agent to remove one of the $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ groups (as $\mathrm{Co}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right.$ $(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ ) and generating ' $\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ' in situ. The reactions were carried out in tetrahydrofuran at room temperature and generally took less than an hour to complete. Work up of the reaction mixture by thin layer chromatography allowed easy separation of $\mathrm{Co}\{\mathrm{Au}$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$, with the major product(s) being contained in well-resolved band(s) at lower $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ values. Other anions, such as chloride and acetate, have also been used with similar results. Isolated yields of the pure gold-ruthenium or -osmium cluster complexes ranged from $21-80 \%$. Characterisation of the various complexes was achieved by a combination of elemental analysis and the usual spectroscopic methods (see Tables 1 and 2 and the Experimental section). In general, the FAB mass spectra contained parent ions, although some contained ions at higher mass formed by addition of an $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ group to $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, similar to those described earlier [12] (see Table 2). Selected complexes have been fully characterised by single-crystal X-ray structure determinations.

In this way, we have found that replacement of either one CO group or two cluster-bound H atoms (if present) by the $\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ unit occurred to give novel derivatives (Scheme 1), several of which have been structurally characterised (see below). We have also used the $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left[\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right]\right\}_{3}\right]^{+}$cation (1b) in some of these reactions. With the exception of obvious changes in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra, no significant differences in the products were found. In the account that follows, we have chosen to represent complexes containing adjacent $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)$ groups (i.e. $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Au}$ bonded) by $\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{2}$, while in complexes with separated (non-bonded) $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)$ groups, the formulation $\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)\right\}_{2}$ has been employed.

Thus, from reactions between $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left(\mu-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}$, the corresponding hexacarbonyl (2) was obtained as a dark purple crystalline
solid. The related complex $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Me}\right)\{\mu$ $\left.\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-3\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ (3) was obtained similarly, both being isolated in about $70 \%$ yield. Spectroscopic data for 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ were in accord with these formulations, only three terminal $\nu(\mathrm{CO})$ bands being found in their IR spectra (Table 1); individual characteristic resonrances in their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra are mentioned where appropriate. Thus for 3 , three Me resonances at $\delta$ $1.87,2.13$ and 2.18 (intensity ratio $1: 2: 2$ ) could be assigned to the Me groups of the $\mu_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Me}$ and the two $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{2}$ ligands respectively. The X-ray crystal structure of 2 confirmed that the two $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)$ groups were adjacent.

A similar reaction between $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $\mathrm{R} u_{3}\left\{\mu_{3}-\right.$ $\mathrm{PPhCH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}^{\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-2\right)\right\}(\mathrm{CO})_{9} \text { also resulted in replace- }}$ ment of a CO group by the $\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ fragment to give the purple complex 4. In this complex, only three terminal $\nu(\mathrm{CO})$ bands were found, while the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum contained two multiplets at $\delta 2.89$ and 3.65 for the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups of the bridging phosphido-phosphine ligand. There were no significant differences in yields when [ppn][OAc] or [ppn]Cl were used as the accompanying nucleophiles: one-third of the gold was separated as the compounds $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{OAc})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ or $\mathrm{AuCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ respectively. When $\left[\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{Au}\left[\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right]\right]_{3}\right]$ $\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ was used as the $\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{2}$ source, the complex $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left\{\mu_{3}-\mathrm{PPhCH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-2\right)\right\}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left[\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right]_{2}\right\}$ (5) was obtained in $75 \%$ yield. The IR spectrum contains four terminal $\nu(\mathrm{CO})$ bands, while in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, the OMe protons resonated as two doublets at $\delta 3.55$ and 3.83. The X-ray crystal structure of 5 is described below.

Reactions with the $\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NPh}$ complexes $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\right.$ $\mathrm{NPh})_{n}(\mathrm{CO})_{11-n}(n=1,2)$ with $1 \mathbf{1 a}$ afforded the corresponding complexes $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NPh}\right)_{n}(\mathrm{CO})_{10-n}\left(\mathrm{Au}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ as red $(n=1,(6))$ and orange ( $n=2$, (7)) crystalline solids respectively. Their IR spectra contain five and six terminal $\nu(\mathrm{CO})$ bands respectively; the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$

Table 1
Some complexes containing $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)$ fragments

| No | Complex | Colour | M.p. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)^{\text {a }}$ | Analyses: found/calc. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | C | H | N |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left(\mu-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ | dark purple | $>150 \mathrm{dec}$ | 47.47/47.10 | $3.37 / 2.96$ |  |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Me}\right)\left\{\mu-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left(\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ | purple | $>150 \mathrm{dec}$ | 48.80/48.51 | $3.59 / 3.38$ |  |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left\{\mu_{3}-\mathrm{PPhCH} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right\}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ | red | 198-200 | $42.72 / 43.19$ | 2.64/2.65 |  |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left\{\mu_{3}-\mathrm{PPhCH} 2{ }_{2} \mathrm{PPh}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{8}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left[\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right]_{2}\right\}\right.$ | red-purple | 200-203 | 26.80/26.86 | 2.32/2.32 |  |
| 6 | $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NPh}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{9}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ | dark red | $>150 \mathrm{dec}$ | 39.02/39.14 | 2.26/2.25 | 0.90/0.90 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NPh}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}\left(\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ | orange | $>150 \mathrm{dec}$ | $41.6 / 41.3$ | 2.8/2.5 | 2.0/1.7 |
| 8 | $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{S}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}\left(\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ | red | $>150 \mathrm{dec}$ | $34.97 / 35.00$ | 2.01/2.00 |  |
| 9 | $\mathrm{Ru}_{6} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CO})_{16}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{2}$ | red | 278-280 | 31.35/31.69 | $1.50 / 1.54{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| 10 | $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{1}\left\{\left(\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}\right.$ | orange | 155-156 dec | $31.28 / 31.41$ | 1.71/1.68 |  |
| 11 | $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{11}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left[\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right]_{2}\right\}$ | orange | $>150 \mathrm{dec}$ | 13.52/13.43 | 1.20/1.19 |  |
| 12 | $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}\left(\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{2}$ | dark green | 204-207 dec |  |  |  |
| 13 | $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{H})(\mathrm{CO})_{10}\left\{\mathrm{Au}^{\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}}\right.$ | dark green |  | 24.80/25.65 | 1.32/1.23 |  |
| 14 | $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mu \mathrm{H})_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{j}\right)\right\}_{2}$ | golden yellow |  | $37.14 / 37.73$ | 2.44/2.36 |  |

[^1]Table 2
Spectroscopic properties of some $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Ru}$ and $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Os}$ complexes


[^2]NMR spectra were uninformative, containing only the expected bands. The X-ray structure of 6 confirmed the anticipated structure, in which the $\mu_{3}-\mathrm{CO}$ ligand has been replaced by an $\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ group.

Use of [ppn]X $\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{OAc}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right)$ in reactions between 1a and $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{S}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ again resulted in no difference in product, although the yields of red $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{S}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}\left(\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ (8) varied from 21 ( X $=\mathrm{Cl})$ to $69 \%\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right)$. Related complexes have been obtained from $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{H})_{2}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{S}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ and the related anion and structurally characterised [13]; however, we were unable to obtain suitable X-ray quality crystals to enable the molecular structures of 7 or $\mathbf{8}$ to be determined. The presence of two $\mu_{3}$-ligands on either side of an open $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}$ cluster suggests that the two
$\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ units may either bridge the two $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Ru}$ edges, or bridge only one edge as an $\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ unit.

In the series of reactions of ruthenium complexes examined here, only that of 1 a with $\mathrm{Ru}_{6} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CO})_{17}$ afforded a complex containing two isolated $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ groups, as revealed by the X -ray structure of $\mathrm{Ru}_{6} \mathrm{C}(\mu$ $\mathrm{CO})_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{14}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{2}(9)$, a red crystalline solid obtained in $47 \%$ yield as the $0.5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solvate. This complex has been reported briefly, together with other related phosphine derivatives, as having been obtained from reactions between $\left[\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{Ph}$; $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{MePh}_{2}$ ) and $\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{6} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CO})_{16}\right]^{2-}$ [14]. The IR spectrum contains four terminal $\nu(\mathrm{CO})$ bands, together with an absorption at $1822 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ assigned to a $\mu-\mathrm{CO}$ ligand.

Reactions of $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}$ with $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]^{+}(\mathrm{R}=$


Scheme 1.

Ph or OMe ) also proceeded readily at room temperature. The orange products, obtained in $53-71 \%$ yield, were identified as $\left.\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{11}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}\right](\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}(10)$, OMe (11)) by analysis and spectroscopically. In this series of reactions, [ppn]X $\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{OAc}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right)$ were used as accompanying nucleophiles: the compounds $\mathrm{AuX}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)$ were also isolated, together with a white precipitate of $[p p n]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$. The H NMR spectrum of 11 contained a doublet at $\delta 3.79$ for the OMe protons. Complex 10 has been characterised previously by an X-ray structure determination [15,16]. As reported in the Experimental section, the IR $\nu(\mathrm{CO})$ spectrum of our product differs from that reported earlier [15].

In contrast, with $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{11}(\mathrm{NCMe})$ and $\mathbf{1 a}$ the reaction gave several products, but only $\operatorname{Co}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ and green $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{2}(\mathbf{1 2} ; 51 \%)$ have been isolated and identified. The $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ analogue was described before in an account which details the extensive relationships that exists between these complexes [15]. The molecular core consists of an equilateral triangle of Os atoms, the shorter edge of which is bridged by two $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)$ units; there is no interaction between the two Au atoms, wheh are separated by $4.304(2) \AA$. This

Table 3
Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) for complexes 2,5 and 6 and their 'parent' complexes (from Refs. [20-22])
\(\left.\begin{array}{lcl}\hline \mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left(\mu-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}(2)^{\mathrm{a}} <br>

\& \& Parent complex\end{array}\right\}\)| $\mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{Ru}(2)$ | $2.847(4)$ | $2.776(1)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{Ru}(3)$ | $2.831(5)$ | $2.759(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(2)-\mathrm{Ru}(3)$ | $3.012(4)$ | $2.956(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | $2.300(9)$ | $2.267(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | $2.34(1)$ | $2.334(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(2)-\mathrm{P}(4)$ | $2.37(1)$ | $2.319(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(3)-\mathrm{P}(4)$ | $2.278(9)$ | $2.361(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.29(3)$ | $2.303(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $2.35(2)$ | $2.353(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $2.16(2)$ | $2.127(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(3)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.09(2)$ | $2.135(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.39(3)$ | 1.40 |

| $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left\{\mu_{3}-\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right\}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}\left\{\Lambda_{\mathrm{u}_{2}}\left[\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right]_{2}\right\}(5)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{Ru}(2)$ | $2.915(2)$ | $2.8250(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{Ru}(3)$ | 2.991(2) | $2.8962(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(2)-\mathrm{Ru}(3)$ | 3.054(2) | $2.8618(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | 2.330 (4) | $2.303(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(2)-\mathrm{P}(4)$ | $2.386(4)$ | 2.359(1) |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(3)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | 2.317(4) | 2.349(1) |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 2.10(1) | 2.170 (4) |
| $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NPh}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{9}\left(\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ (6) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{Ru}(2)$ | $2.730(2)$ | 2.735(1), $2.734(1)^{\text {b }}$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{Ru}(3)$ | $2.909(1)$ | 2.750(1), 2.760(1) |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(2)-\mathrm{Ru}(3)$ | $2.821(1)$ | 2.741(1), 2.754(1) |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 2.083(9) | 2.055(4), 2.055(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 2.063(9) | 2.053(4), 2.060(4) |
| Ru(3)-N(1) | $2.057(8)$ | 2.053(4), 2.054(4) |

${ }^{a}$ Dihedral angle $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{Ru}_{3} 70.5^{\circ}$; parent complex $65.2^{\circ}$.
${ }^{b}$ Values for two independent molecules given.

Table 4
Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ for 9 and $\mathrm{Ru}_{6} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CO})_{17}$ [23]

|  | 9 | Parent (av.) $^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{Ru}(1)$ | $2.785(2)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{Ru}(2)$ | $2.753(2)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $2.281(6)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{Ru}(2)$ | $3.046(3)$ | $2.898(3)(\mathrm{I})$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{Ru}(3)$ | $2.941(3)$ | 2.893 (IIa) |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(2)-\mathrm{Ru}(3)$ | $2.874(3)$ | 2.885 (Ilb) |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.075(2)$ | 2.05 (all forms) |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.076(2)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(3)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.023(2)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $2.09(3)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $2.04(3)$ |  |

${ }^{a}$ Values for forms I, IIa and IIb given as appropriate.
complex is therefore isolobal with the dihydride $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mu$ $\mathrm{H})_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}$.

The purple colour of the latter complex was immediately discharged upon addition of the gold reagent, the solution becoming greenish-brown. Work up gave 12 ( $8 \%$ ) and the hydrido-gold complexes $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{H})$ $(\mathrm{CO})_{10}\left(\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\} \quad(13 ; 15 \%) \quad[15]$ and $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mu$ $\mathrm{H})_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)}\right\}_{2}(14)$. Complex 13 has been obtained previously from reactions of the dihydride with $\mathrm{AuMe}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ [17] and of $[\mathrm{ppn}]\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{H})(\mathrm{CO})_{11}\right]$ with $\mathrm{AuCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ [15,18]. Golden-yellow 14 was tentatively identified in the usual way, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum containing two resonances in the high field region at $\delta-17.04$ and -7.01 , assigned to $\mu-\mathrm{H}$ and terminal H ligands respectively. The FAB mass spectrum contained a strong $\mathrm{M}^{+}$ion. The complex may be related to $\mathrm{Os}_{3} \mathrm{H}(\mu-\mathrm{H})(\mathrm{CO})_{10}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ [19], with one of the CO groups replaced by two $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ groups, although we cannot determine whether there is an $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Au}$ bond in this product.

### 2.1. Molecular structures

The molecular structures of 2,5,6 and 9 have been determined and important structural parameters are given

Table 5
Bond lengths $(\AA)$ for the $R u_{3} \mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{2}$ fragments in complexes 2 , 5 and 6

|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{Au}(2)$ | $3.032(2)$ | $2.763(5)$ | $2.955(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{Ru}(1)$ | $2.835(3)$ | $2.845(1)$ | $2.784(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Au}(1) \cdots \mathrm{Ru}(2)$ | $4.830(3)$ | $4.810(1)$ | $4.531(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{Ru}(3)$ | $2.690(3)$ | $2.900(1)$ | $2.811(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{Ru}(1)$ | $2.845(3)$ | $2.874(1)$ | $2.937(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{Ru}(2)$ | $3.094(3)$ | $3.070(1)$ | $2.804(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{Ru}(3)$ | $2.728(3)$ | $2.742(1)$ | $2.828(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Au}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $2.282(9)$ | $2.266(5)$ | $2.300(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Au}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $2.327(8)$ | $2.253(5)$ | $2.309(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{Ru}(2)$ | $2.847(4)$ | $2.915(2)$ | $2.730(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{Ru}(3)$ | $2.831(5)$ | $2.991(2)$ | $2.909(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(2)-\mathrm{Ru}(3)$ | $3.012(4)$ | $3.054(2)$ | $2.821(1)$ |



Fig. 1. Molecular structure and crystallographic numbering scheme for $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left(\mu-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left(\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ (2).
in Tables 3 and 4. All three $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}$ derivatives contain approximately trigonal pyramidal $\mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{Ru}_{3}$ cores (Table 5), as found for 16 related complexes in the earlier study [11]. In the following account, some comparisons with the 'parent' complexes are also given.

### 2.1.1. $R u_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-C_{6} H_{4}\right)\left(\mu-P P h_{2}\right)_{2}(C O)_{6}\left\{A u_{2}\left(P P h_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ (2)

As can be seen from Fig. 1, the benzyne ligand remains attached to the $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}$ face; the equatorial Ru atoms interact with the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ group in $\eta^{\prime}$ and $\eta^{2}$ modes, the apical Ru in an $\eta^{1}$ mode. The two $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ groups bridge the $\mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{Ru}(2)$ and $\mathrm{Ru}(2)-\mathrm{Ru}(3)$ vectors. The $\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ group is attached via $\mathrm{Au}(2)$ to all three Ru atoms (range $2.728(4)-3.094(3) \AA$ ) and more strongly to $\mathrm{Ru}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Ru}(3)$ via $\mathrm{Au}(1)$ (2.835(3), 2.690 (4) $\AA$ respectively); there is no obvious reason for the dissimilarity in $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Ru}$ bond distances. Comparison with the parent complex [20] shows that all $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Ru}$ distances are longer in 2 ( 2.897 (av.) vs. $2.830 \AA$ ). The $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{C}$ distances to the benzyne ligand appear to indicate a strengthening of the $\sigma$-bonds at the expense of the $\pi$-bonds; however, this trend is partially obscured by an increase in asymmetry of bonding of the $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ unit to the $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}$ triangle when the $\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ fragment is present.

### 2.1.2. $R u_{3}\left\{\mu_{3}-P P h C H_{2} P P h\left(C_{6} H_{4}-2\right)\right\}(C O)_{8}\left\{A u_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\left[\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right]_{2}\right\}$ (5)

Fig. 2 shows a plot of this complex, which was characterised as a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solvate. The metallated phosphido-phosphine ligand is attached to the apical $\mathrm{Ru}(2)$ via $\mathrm{P}(4)$ (the phosphine), while $\mathrm{P}(3)$ (the phosphide) bridges the $\mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{Ru}(3)$ vector. The $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Ru}$


Fig. 2. Molecular structure and crystallographic numbering scheme for the cluster in $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left\{\mu_{3}-\mathrm{PPhCH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-2\right)\right\}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right)_{2}\right\} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (5).
bonds fall in the range $2.742(1)-3.070(1) \AA$, the shortest bond being to $\mathrm{Ru}(3)$ which also bears the $\sigma$-bonded $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ group $(\mathrm{Ru}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2) 2.10(1) \AA)$. Again, there is an expansion of the $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}$ triangle in 5 (2.915(2)-3.054(2) $\AA$, av. $2.987 \AA$ ) when compared with the parent complex (2.8250(7)-2.8962(7) A, av. $2.861 \AA$ ) [21]. Other bonds are comparable, with the exception of $\mathrm{Ru}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ (2.10(1) $\AA$ in 5 vs. $2.170(4) \AA$ in the parent).

### 2.1.3. $R u_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-N P h\right)(C O)_{9}\left\{A u_{2}\left(P P h_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ (6)

Fig. 3 shows that this complex contains the $\mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{Ru}_{3}$ trigonal bipyramid found in the previous examples, with the $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}$ face capped by the NPh group. In the precursor, one of the CO groups caps the opposite side of the


Fig. 3. Molecular structure and crystallographic numbering scheme for $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NPh}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{9}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ (6).


Fig. 4. Molecular structure and crystallographic numbering scheme for the cluster in $\mathrm{Ru}_{6} \mathrm{C}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{14}\left(\mathrm{Au}^{\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{2} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \text { (9). }}\right.$
face [22]. In the formation of 6, the $\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ group (a 2 e donor) has replaced this CO ligand, but the pronounced tendency for metal-metal bond formation has resulted in condensation to the observed trigonal bipyramid. The nine remaining CO groups are terminally bound, three to each Ru atom. The $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Ru}$ separations range between $2.784(1)$ and $2.937(1) \AA$, while within the $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}$ triangle, the average $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Ru}$ separation of $2.820 \AA$ again shows an increase when compared with the values of $2.742,2.749 \AA$ found for the two independent molecules of the parent complex [18]. Attachment of the $\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ unit also results in the equatorial $\mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{Ru}(3)$ vector (2.909(1) $\AA$ ) in the $\mathrm{Ru}_{3} \mathrm{Au}_{2}$ trigonal bipyramid being longer than the two $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{eq})-\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{ap})$ distances.

### 2.1.4. $\mathrm{Ru}_{6} \mathrm{C}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{14}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{2}$ (9)

In this complex, obtained as a hemi- $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solvate, the structure (Fig. 4 and Table 5) is based on that of the parent $\mathrm{Ru}_{6} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CO})_{17}$ [23], the two $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ groups are as far apart as possible, bridging opposite edges ( $\mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{Ru}(2)$ and $\left.\mathrm{Ru}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Ru}\left(2^{\prime}\right)\right)$ of the $\mathrm{Ru}_{6}$ octahedron. The other two equatorial edges $\left(\operatorname{Ru}(1)-\operatorname{Ru}\left(2^{\prime}\right)\right.$ and
$\left.\mathrm{Ru}(2)-\mathrm{Ru}\left(1^{\prime}\right)\right)$ are bridged by CO ligands to give a symmetrical structure. In contrast, the $\mathrm{PMePh}_{2}$ complex contains a slightly distorted octahedron with the central carbon atom at a crystallographic centre of symmetry [14]. While the $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Ru}$ and $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P}$ bonds are experimentally identical in the two complexes, there is a considerable disparity between the two sets of $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Ru}$ distances. Thus in 9, the three separations $R u(1)-R u(2), R u(1)-$ $R u(3)$ and $R u(2)-R u(3)$ are $3.046(3) \AA, 2.941(3) \AA$ and $2.874(3) \AA$ respectively (av. $2.954 \AA$ ), while in the $\mathrm{PMePh}_{2}$ complex the corresponding distances are in the range $2.758(1)-2.950(1) \AA$, with an average of $2.870 \AA$. It has previously been noted that there is no correspondence between $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Ru}$ separation and the presence or absence of bridging ligands in the three structural forms of $\mathrm{Ru}_{6} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CO})_{17}$ that have been identified [23]. However, the entire range of distances spanned lies between $2.803(1)$ and $2.988(1) \AA$, with an average value of $2.892 \AA$. This is $0.064 \AA$ shorter than that found in 9 , but $0.022 \AA$ longer than in the $\mathrm{PMePh}_{2}$ complex [14]. Of interest is that the $R u_{6}$ octahedron is flattened, the $\mathrm{Ru}(3)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ distance being $0.54 \AA$ shorter than the $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{eq})-\mathrm{C}(1)$ distances; a similar difference ( $0.43 \AA$ ) was also found in the $\mathrm{PMePh}_{2}$ complex.

### 2.2. FAB mass spectra

As reported earlier [12], fast atom bombardment (FA.) mass spectrometry has proved very useful for the identification of involatile, high molecular weight metal cluster complexes. In the present instance, all complexes gave well resolved spectra, with the molecular ion prominent (Table 2). Fragmentation is by loss of CO ligands and in several cases, loss of $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ groups or fragments thereof. The dominant peaks in all spectra, however, are the ions $\left[\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{n}\right]^{+}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}$ or $\mathrm{OMe}, n=1$ or 2 ).

For 6, strong peaks at $m / z 1328$ and 1237 were assigned to $[\mathrm{M}-8 \mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{N}]^{+}$and $[\mathrm{M}-9 \mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{Ph}]^{+}$ respectively; loss of Ph (or $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}$ ) groups was also found in the spectra of 2 and $\mathbf{3}$. Aggregate ions of the type $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}$were found in the spectra of 5 and 14 and may be considered to be isolobal with the $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ions commonly found in FAB mass spectra of organic and some organometallic molecules [12].

Table 6
${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ NMR data for some $\mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{Ru}_{3}$ complexes ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Complex | Temperature | $\delta(\mathrm{PAu})$ | Other signals |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left(\mu-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}(2)$ | r.t. | $61.6,67.1$ | $104.0,191.2\left(2 \times \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left\{\mu_{3}-\mathrm{PPhCH}\right.$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\left.\mathrm{PPh}_{6}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right\}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}(4)$ | r.t. | $62.9,63.3$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NPh}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{9}\left(\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}(6)$ |  | $0.7(\mathrm{~d}, J(\mathrm{PP})=96 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PPh}) ;$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NPh}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}\left(\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}(7)$ | 205 K | 64.5 | $152.1(\mathrm{~d}, J(\mathrm{PP})=96 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mu-\mathrm{PPh})$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{S}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}\left(\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)(\mathbf{8})$ | r.t. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}\left(\mathrm{Au}^{\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right)_{2}(12)}\right.$ | r.t. | 63.1 |  |

[^3]
## 2.3. ${ }^{31} P$ NMR spectra

Table 6 lists the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra obtained for several of the complexes described above. The chemical shifts for the $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ groups attached to an Ru cluster are usually between $\delta 56-67$, as found previously for $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{PPhCH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{9}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}(\delta 68.7)$ [24], $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{H})\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{S}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{9}\left(\mathrm{Au}^{\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\} \quad(\delta \quad 62.8)}\right.$ [13a], $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{S}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{9}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ ( $\delta$ 64.4) [13a] and $\mathrm{Ru}_{4}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{H}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{H})(\mathrm{CO})_{12}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ ( $\delta$ 58.7) [25]. The presence of only one signal indicates that the two $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)$ groups are rendered equivalent by rapid exchange between the two structurally inequivalent sites. As mentioned above, this process probably involves a Berry-type rearrangement of the $\mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{Ru}_{3}$ metal cores via a square pyramidal intermediate. That this process is a facile one is shown by the observation of a single ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ resonance for 6 even at 205 K . However, for 2, two signals at $\delta 61.6$ and 67.1 are found, together with two other resonances at $\delta 104.0$ and 191.2, which are assigned to the two $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ groups. Similarly, in the spectrum of 4 , two resonances at $\delta 62.9$ and 63.3 are observed; the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ resonances of the $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{PhCH} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{Ph}$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ ligand are found at $\delta 152.1\left(\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{A}}\right)$ and $0.7\left(\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{B}}\right)$, compared with values of $\delta 117.3$ and 2.9 found for $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left\{\mu_{3}-\mathrm{PPhCH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right\}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ [21a]. In 2 and 4, the presence of two $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ resonances results from the asymmetry in the $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}$ parts of the clusters, which do not possess any plane of symmetry. The presence of two $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ resonances in the spectrum of 2 suggests that the $\mu_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ is no longer undergoing a rapid (on the NMR time-scale) rotation about the $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}$ triangle, as has been observed in the precursor [26]. Further comparisons of the various processes occurring in non-rigid cluster carbonyls containing functional ligands and their $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)$ derivatives are desirable.

## 3. Conclusions

This paper has described the use of the [ $\mathrm{O}\{\mathrm{Au}$ $\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)\right)_{3}\right]^{+}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{OMe})$ reagents as sources of the $\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{2}$ fragment in reactions with a range of trinuclear ruthenium carbonyl complexes. The reactions are carried out in the presence of an anionic nucleophile $\left(\mathrm{X}^{-}=\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{OAc}^{-}\right.$or $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]^{-}$) which serves to remove one-third of the gold as $\operatorname{AuX}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)$. Earlier studies have shown that treatment of poly-gold clusters with nucleophiles resulted in loss of an $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)$ fragment [27]; in the present case, we have not detected the trigold clusters, although in some cases these are formed by reaction of mono- or di-gold clusters with the trigoldoxonium cation.

With simple trinuclear osmium carbonyls, the reagent
results in the formation of mono- and di-gold triosmium clusters, which have been obtained previously by other related routes [15]. Thus, efficient syntheses of $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{11}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ were achieved with both the $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$ derivatives. With the dihydride $\mathrm{Os}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}$, mixtures of $\left.\mathrm{Os}_{3} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}\left\{\mathrm{Au}^{( } \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}$ and $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{2} \text { were obtained, together with the }}\right.$ new hydride $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{H})_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{2}(14)$, presumably formed by abstraction of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ by the $\mathrm{Os}_{3}$ complex and addition of the digold fragment.

The pattern of substitution is as expected for a two-electron donor, i.e. two H atoms or a CO group. The high tendency for $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Au}$ bond formation ('aurophilicity') [28], however, means that, in most cases, it is the $\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{2}$ unit which adds to the clusters, on the opposite side of the $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}$ cluster from that occupied by the $\mu_{3}$-capping ligand. Solution studies have shown that the $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Au}$ bond is readily cleaved with formation of complexes (or intermediates) containing two separated $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)$ groups [10]. This comment is particularly pertinent to the $\mathrm{Ru}_{6}$ cluster 9 . The structures of this complex and its $\mathrm{PMePh}_{2}$ analogue [14] are similar. The latter shows temperature-dependent ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra, indicating the presence of two isomers of which, it is suggested, one has the solid-state structure and the other contains an $\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{2}$ unit with an $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Au}$ bond, as found in the related species $\mathrm{Ru}_{5} \mathrm{WC}(\mathrm{CO})_{17}\left(\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ [14]. Not surprisingly, the chelating phosphine in $\mathrm{Ru}_{6} \mathrm{C}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{13}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}(\mu-\right.$ dppm) \} keeps the two Au atoms in close contact ( $2.863(1) \AA$ ) as they cap one of the $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}$ faces of the $\mathrm{Ru}_{6}$ octahedron [29].

The presence of $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Au}$ bonds in the $\mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{Ru}_{3}$ clusters described above indicates that the well-known isolobal relationship between $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)$ and H [30] does not seem to hold in these clusters. In polyhydrido clusters the H atoms are generally found to bridge separate edges or cap separate faces. In the formation of tye digold derivatives, the first $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)$ unit caps an $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}$ face, while the second caps an $\mathrm{AuRu}_{2}$ face, so that $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Au}$ bonds are formed. The formal isolobal analogues of these complexes would be cluster complexes of molecular $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, but so far none have been identified, although their mononuclear counterparts have been known since 1984 [31].

The structural consequences of replacement of a CO by the $\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{2}$ fragment are generally an expansion of the triangular $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}$ part of the $\mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{Ru}_{3}$ core. Others have commented upon the geometries of this core and their relationship with the reaction coordinate of the Au atom exchange which is observed in solution [11]. Suffice it to say that in the three new examples given here, the geometrical parameters are within the ranges considered in the earlier study. For example, the $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Ru}$ distances all fall near the $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{C}$ ends of the curve shown in Fig. 1 of Ref. [11].

## 4. Experimental

### 4.1. Instrumentation

IR: Perkin-Elmer 1700X FT IR; 683 double beam, NaCl optics; NMR: Bruker CXP300 or ACP300 ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR at $300.13 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR at 75.47 MHz ). FAB MS: VG ZAB 2HF (FAB MS, using 3-nitrobenzyl alcohol as matrix, exciting gas Ar, FAB gun voltage 7.5 kV , current 1 mA , accelerating potential 7 kV ).

### 4.2. Starting materials

The compounds $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right][32]$, $[\mathrm{ppn}][\mathrm{Co}-$ $(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ ] [33], [ppn]Cl [33], [ppn][OAc] [34], $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}$ [35], $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left(\mu-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}$ [36], $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Me}\right)\left\{\mu-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-3\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{7} \quad[36], \quad \mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{PPhCH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right\}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}[21 \mathrm{~b}], \mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NPh}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{10}$ [22], $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NPh}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ [37], $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{S}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ [38], $\mathrm{Ru}_{6} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CO})_{17}$ [39], $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{14}(\mathrm{NCMe})$ [40] and $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{H})_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}$ [41] were prepared by the cited methods.

### 4.2.1. $\mathrm{AuCl}\left\{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{OMe}_{3}\right.\right.$ \}

A modification of the method described in Ref. [42] was used. Au metal ( $2.01 \mathrm{~g}, 10.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in aqua regia ( 20 ml ) in an evaporating dish, and then taken to dryness on a steam bath. The residue was then dissolved in $\mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{ml})$ and again taken to dryness on the steam bath, redissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{ml})$ and filtered by gravity and the solution cooled in ice. Thiodiglycol ( $6 \mathrm{~g}, 49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the filtrate dropwise over 1 h to give a clear solution. To this was added a solution of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}(1.28 \mathrm{~g}, 10.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(10$ $\mathrm{ml})$ dropwise over 20 min . The layers were separated and the water layer was washed with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(2 \times 10$ ml ). The combined organic extracts were added to EtOH ( 100 ml ) and evaporated (rotary evaporator) to approximately 10 ml to give $\mathrm{AuCl}\left\{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right\}$ as a white powder ( $2.05 \mathrm{~g}, 56 \%$ ), m.p. $98-101^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (Nujol): $\nu(\mathrm{PO}) 1180 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\delta\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.76(\mathrm{~d}, J(\mathrm{PH})$ $=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OMe})$. FAB MS $(m / z): 677,[\{\mathrm{Au}[\mathrm{P}-$ $\left.\left.\left.(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right]\right]_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}, 36 ; 445,\left[\mathrm{Au}\left\{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right\}_{2}\right]^{+}, 12 ; 321$, $\left[\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right\}\right]^{+}, 100$.

### 4.2.2. $\left.\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left[\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right]\right\}_{3}\right] / \mathrm{BF}{ }_{4}\right]$

The brown precipitate of silver oxide formed by adding a solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.45 \mathrm{~g}, 11 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ to a solution of silver nitrate ( $1.88 \mathrm{~g}, 11.1$ mmol) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{ml})$ was removed by filtration, washed with water ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{ml}$ ), ethanol ( 2.5 ml ), and acetone ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{ml}$ ) and air dried. The solid silver oxide was then added to a round-bottomed, 250 ml flask containing a stirred solution of $\mathrm{AuCl}\left\{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right\}$ in acetone ( 100 ml ) ( $1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 4.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), followed by addition of solid $\mathrm{NaBF}_{4}(1.88 \mathrm{~g}, 17.1 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred rapidly for 1 h . The solvent was removed and the
solid residue was extracted with chloroform ( $3 \times 15$ ml ). The combined extracts were filtered into freshly distilled $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(150 \mathrm{ml})$ giving an off-white precipitate of $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left[\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right]\right\}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ which was collected and air-dried ( $597 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ ). Found: C, $10.21 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.56$; $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{Au}_{3} \mathrm{BF}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{P}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 10.14 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.55 \%$. IR (Nujol): $\nu(\mathrm{PO}) 1190 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \nu(\mathrm{BF}) 1010-1080$ (br) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\delta\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.82(\mathrm{~d}, J(\mathrm{PH})=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, OMe). FAB MS: 979, $[\mathrm{M}]^{+} ;\left[\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{Au}\left[\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right]\right]_{3}\right]^{+}, 100 ;$ 855, $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right]^{+}, 7: 839,\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}-\mathrm{O}\right]^{+}$, $11 ; 659,\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Au}\left\{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right\}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}, 22 ; 445$, $\left[\mathrm{Au}\left\{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right\}_{2}\right]^{+}, 31 ; 321,\left[\mathrm{Au}\left\{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right\}\right]^{+}, 69$.

### 4.3. Reactions of osmium and ruthenium clusters with

 $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(P R_{3}\right)\right\}_{3} J\left[B F_{4}\right](R=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{OMe})\right.$ in the presence of $[p p n]^{+}$salts
### 4.3.1. Preparation of $R u_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left(\mu-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}-$ $\left\{A u_{2}\left(P P h_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ (2)

To a stirred solution of $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left(\mu-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}-$ $(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.015 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran ( 7 ml ) were added $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right](22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.015 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $[\mathrm{ppn}]\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right](10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 5 min the mixture was evaporated to dryness. Preparative TLC (acetone-light petroleum) gave five bands. Band 2 (colourless, $\left.R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.56\right)$ contained $\mathrm{Co}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ IR: $\nu(\mathrm{CO})$ (cyclohexane) $2055 \mathrm{~s}, 1990 \mathrm{~s}, 1960 \mathrm{vs} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{-1}$ (Ref. [1a] $\left.\nu(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{CS}_{2}\right) 2054 \mathrm{~s}, 1988 \mathrm{~s}, 1957 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{-1}\right) . \mathrm{FAB}$ MS: 721, $\left[\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}, 28 ; 630,[M]^{+}, 2 ; 602,[M-$ $\mathrm{CO}]^{+}, 30 ; 574,[M-2 \mathrm{CO}]^{+}, 14 ; 546,[M-3 \mathrm{CO}]^{+}$, 24; 518, $[\mathrm{M}-4 \mathrm{CO}]^{+}, 34 ; 459,\left[\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}, 100$. Band 3 (purple, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.50$ ) was crystallised $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\right.$ hexane) to give $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left(\mu-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ (2) ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ).

### 4.3.2. Preparation of $R u_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Me}\right)\left\{\mu-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right.\right.$ -

 $\left.3)_{2}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}(\mathrm{PPh})_{2}\right\}$ (3)In a similar reaction $\left[\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right](36 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.024 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $[\mathrm{ppn}]\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right](17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a solution of $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Me}\right)\{\mu$ $\left.\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-3\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran ( 10 ml ). After 5 min the solvent was removed. Preparative TLC (acetone-light petroleum) gave six bands. Band 2 (colourless, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.61$ ) contained $\mathrm{Co}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ (spot TLC), while band 3 (purple, $\left.R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.54\right)$ was extracted and crystallised $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\right.$ hexane) to give $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Me}\right)\left\{\mu-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-\right.\right.$ $\left.3)_{2}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}(3)(33 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\delta\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} M e\right), 2.13(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} M e-3$ ), $2.18\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} M e-3\right), 6.06-7.62$ ( m, $\left.49 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-3+\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Me}+\mathrm{Ph}\right)$.
4.3.3. Preparation of $R u_{3}\left\{\mu_{3}-P P h C H_{2} P P h\left(C_{6} H_{4}\right)\right\}$ $(\mathrm{CO})_{8}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ (4)
4.3.3.1. From $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3} J\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]-[\mathrm{ppn}]\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]\right.$.

- To a stirred solution of $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left\{\mu_{3}-\mathrm{PPhCH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right\}$
$(\mathrm{CO})_{9}(29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.034 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ were added $\left[\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right](52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.035 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $[\mathrm{ppn}]\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right](26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.037 \mathrm{mmol})$, immediately giving a purple solution. After stirring at room temperature for 15 min the solvent was removed. Preparative TLC (acetone-light petroleum) gave three bands. Band 1 (colourless, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.67$ ) contained $\mathrm{Co}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ (IR $\nu(\mathrm{CO})$ spectrum and spot TLC). Band 3 (purple, $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ $0.53)$ was crystallised $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ to give $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left\{\mu_{3}-\mathrm{PPhCH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right\}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ (4) $(47 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%) .{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\delta\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.83-2.95,3.56-$ $3.74\left(2 \times \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.07-8.16(\mathrm{~m}, 44 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}+$ $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$.
4.3.3.2. From $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right]_{3}\right]\left[B F_{4}\right]$-[ppn] [OAcl. A similar reaction of $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left\{\mu_{3}-\mathrm{PPhCH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right\}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ (40 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and [ppn][OAc] ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in tetrahydrofuran ( 8 ml ) was stirred for 15 min , then evaporated to dryness. Preparative TLC (acetone-light petroleum 3/7) gave four bands. Band 3 (colourless, $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ 0.39 ) contained $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{OAc})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ (spot TLC). Band 4 (purple, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.37$ ) was crystallised ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ ) to give feathery purple crystals of $4(33 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%)$.
4.3.3.3. From $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF} 4_{4}\right.$ I-IppnlCl. - Similarly, $\left[\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right](57 \mathrm{mg}, 0.039 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $[\mathrm{ppn}] \mathrm{Cl}(22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.038 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left\{\mu_{3^{-}}\right.$ $\mathrm{PPhCH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{9}(33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.038 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran $(15 \mathrm{ml})$. After stirring for 10 min , and evaporation of the solvent, preparative TLC (acetonelight petroleum 3/7) gave six bands. Band 2 (red, $R_{f}$ 0.82 ) contained unreacted $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{PPhCH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right\}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}(\mathrm{IR} \nu(\mathrm{CO})$ spectrum) $(5 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \%)$. Band 5 (colourless, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.64$ ) contained $\mathrm{AuCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ (spot TLC) while band 6 (purple, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.54$ ) was crystallised $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ to give 4 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ).
4.3.4. Preparation of $R u_{3}\left\{\mu_{3}-\mathrm{PPhCH}_{2} P \mathrm{Ph}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6^{-}}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right\}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left[\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3} \mathrm{I}_{2}\right\}\right.$ (5)

To a stirred solution of $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left\{\mu_{3}-\mathrm{PPhCH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right\}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.046 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran $(12 \mathrm{ml})$ were added $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left[\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right]\right]_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right](51 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.048 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $[\mathrm{ppn}]\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right](34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.048 \mathrm{mmol})$. After stirring at room temperature for 5 min , the solvent was removed. Preparative TLC (acetone-light petroleum $3 / 7$ ) of a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ extract gave one major band (purple, $R_{\mathrm{f}} \quad 0.39$ ) which was extracted and crystallised $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ to give $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left\{\mu_{3}-\mathrm{PPhCH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right\}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left[\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right]_{2}\right\}$ (5) ( $51 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\delta\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.98-3.10,3.61-3.75(2 \times \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.55(\mathrm{~d}, J(\mathrm{PH}) 13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.83(\mathrm{~d}$, $J(\mathrm{PH}) 13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}), 6.03-8.12(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}+$ $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ).
4.3.5. Preparation of $R u_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-N P h\right)(C O)_{9}\left\{A u_{2}\left(P P h_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$
(6)

To a stirred solution of $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NPh}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(40 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.059 mmol ) in tetrahydrofuran ( 15 ml ) were added $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right] \quad(89 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.060 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $[\mathrm{ppn}]\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right](42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.059 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 15 min , solvent was removed and preparative TLC (acetonelight petroleum $3 / 7$ ) separated six bands from a baseline. Band 2 (colourless, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.59$ ) contained $\mathrm{Co}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ (IR $\nu(\mathrm{CO})$ spectrum and spot TLC). The major band (red, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.46$ ) was extracted and crystallised $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ to give $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\right.$ $\mathrm{NPh})(\mathrm{CO})_{9}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ (6) ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\delta\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.31(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Ph})$.

### 4.3.6. Preparation of $R u_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-N P h\right)_{2}(C O)_{8}\left\{A u_{2}\left(P P h_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ (7)

To a stirred solution of $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NPh}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}(42 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.057 mmol ) in tetrahydrofuran ( 15 ml ) were added $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right] \quad(84 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.057 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $[\mathrm{ppn}]\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.056 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After stirring at room temperature for 5 min , the solvent was removed. Preparative TLC (acetone-light petroleum 3/7) gave two major bands and a brown baseline. Band 1 (colourless, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.67$ ) contained $\mathrm{Co}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ (spot TLC). Band 2 (orange, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.55$ ) was crystallised $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ to give $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NPh}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ (7) $(69 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\delta\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 6.67-$ $7.64(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Ph})$.

### 4.3.7. Preparation of $R u_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-S\right)_{2}(C O)_{8}\left\{A u_{2}\left(P P h_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}(8)$

4.3.7.1. From $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3} /\left[B F_{4} \mathrm{~J}-\mathrm{Ippn} / / \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]\right.$. - As in 4.3.1. to a stirred solution of $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{S}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.048 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in tetrahydrofuran $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ were added $\left[\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right](72 \mathrm{mg}, 0.049 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $[\mathrm{ppn}]\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right](35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.049 \mathrm{mmol})$, resulting in an immediate darkening of the solution. After stirring at room temperature for 5 min the reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness. Preparative TLC (acetone-light petroleum $3 / 7$ ) gave nine bands, seven of which were present in trace amounts and not identified. Band 2 (colourless, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.61$ ) contained $\mathrm{Co}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ (spot TLC). Band 3 (orange, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.50$ ) was crystallised $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ to give $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{S}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}\left(\mathrm{Au}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ (8) $(50 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\delta\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.48$ ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}$ ).
4.3.7.2. From $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF} F_{4}\right]-[p p n][\mathrm{OAc}]$. A similar reaction of $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{S}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.048$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ with $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right](84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol})$ and [ppn][OAc] ( $31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.052 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in tetrahydrofuran ( 15 ml ) was carried out for 5 min . After evaporation to dryness, preparative TLC gave one major orange band ( $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.59$ ) which was crystallised $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ to give 8 ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$ ).
4.3.7.3. From $\left.\operatorname{lO}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3} / / \mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ - $/ \mathrm{ppn} \mid \mathrm{Cl}$. Similarly, a reaction between $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{S}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}(36$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.058 \mathrm{mmol})$ with $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right](86 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.058 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $[\mathrm{ppn}] \mathrm{Cl}(33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.057 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran ( 13 ml ) for 10 min gave four bands and a brown-red baseline (preparative TLC, acetone-light petroleum $3 / 7$ ). Band 2 (orange, $R_{f} 0.55$ ) was crystallised $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ to give $8(18 \mathrm{mg}, 21 \%)$.
4.3.8. Preparation of $\mathrm{R} u_{6} \mathrm{C}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{14}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{2}$ (9)

To a stirred solution of $\mathrm{Ru}_{6} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CO})_{17}(35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.032$ mmol ) in tetrahydrofuran ( 15 ml ) were added $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right)_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.032 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $[\mathrm{ppn}]\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.032 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After stirring for 10 min the solvent was removed, and the residue was crystallised twice $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ to give $\mathrm{Ru}_{6} \mathrm{C}(\mu$ $\mathrm{CO})_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{14}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{2} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(9)(30 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%)$.

### 4.3.9. Preparation of $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{11}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ (10)

4.3.9.1. From $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ - $\left.[\mathrm{ppn}] / \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$.

- To a stirred solution of $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}(22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.024$ mmol ) in tetrahydrofuran ( 10 ml ) were added $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.024 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $[\mathrm{ppn}]\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right](17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol})$, all reactants dissolving within 5 min with a colour change from pale yellow to orange. After stirring at room temperature for 15 min , solvent was removed, diethyl ether ( 15 ml ) was
added and the volume was reduced; after cooling $\left(-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, the resulting white precipitate was filtered off and identified (IR, FAB MS) as [ppn][BF $\left.{ }_{4}\right]$ ( 12 mg , $80 \%$ ). The filtrate was evaporated to dryness (rotary evaporator) and purified by preparative TLC (acetonelight petroleum 3/7) to give two major bands. Band 1 (colourless, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.54$ ) was crystallised $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ to give white crystals of $\mathrm{Co}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(13 \mathrm{mg}$, $86 \%$ ) (IR $\nu(\mathrm{CO})$ spectrum). Band 2 (orange, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.49$ ) was crystallised $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ to give $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{1^{-}}$ $\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}(\mathbf{1 0})(30 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\delta\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 7.30 (m, Ph).
4.3.9.2. From $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$-[ppn] $[\mathrm{OAc}]$. In a similar reaction in which $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right](26$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and [ppn][OAc] ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran ( 10 ml ), the mixture was stirred for 10 min and then evaporated to dryness in vacuo. Preparative TLC (acetone-light petroleum 3/7) gave two bands. Band 1 (orange, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.56$ ) was crystallised $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ to give $10(21 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%)$, identified from its IR $\nu(\mathrm{CO})$ spectrum. Band 2 (colourless, $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ 0.48 ) was crystallised $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ to give white crystals of $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{OAc}^{(\mathrm{O}}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right.$ (identified by comparison with an authentic sample).
4.3.9.3. From $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(P \mathrm{Ph}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]\left[B F_{4}\right]$ - $[p p n] C l$. Similarly $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right](26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $[\mathrm{ppn}] \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to

Table 7
Crystallographic data and refinement details for complexes 2, 5, 6 and 9

| Compound | 2 | 5 | 6 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Formula | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \\ & \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{Ru}_{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{14} \mathrm{P}_{4} \\ & \mathrm{Ru}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{9} \\ & \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Ru}_{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{53} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Au}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{16} \mathrm{P}_{2} \\ & \mathrm{Ru}_{6} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| Formula wt. | 1836.3 | 1560.6 | 1564.9 | 2027.6 |
| Crystal size ( $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) | $0.11 \times 0.23 \times 0.48$ | $0.13 \times 0.46 \times 0.51$ | $0.16 \times 0.26 \times 0.33$ | $0.06 \times 0.06 \times 0.31$ |
| Crystal system | monoclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic | monoclinic |
| Space group | P21/c | $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ | $P 2_{1} / c$ | $P 2_{1} / n$ |
| $a(\AA)$ | $22.924(3)$ | 12.445(1) | 13.615(3) | 9.454(6) |
| $b(\AA)$ | 14.245(4) | 20.215(2) | $21.224(3)$ | $22.517(6)$ |
| $c(\AA)$ | $25.631(4)$ | 18.334(5) | 18.653(5) | 14.688(6) |
| $\beta$ (deg) | 112.85(1) | 96.37(1) | 108.60(2) | 94.96(5) |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 7713.6 | 4583.9 | 5108.5 | 3114.9 |
| $D_{\text {calcd }}\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.709 | 2.261 | 2.035 | 2.162 |
| $F(000)$ | 3536 | 2944 | 2960 | 1898 |
| $Z$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 50.65 | 75.88 | 66.50 | 62.77 |
| Transmission coefficients | 0.131-0.426 | 0.108-0.195 | 0.207-0.414 | 0.434-0.588 |
| Data collected | $\pm h,+k,-l$ | $-h,+k, \pm l$ | $\pm h,+k,-l$ | $\pm h,+k,-l$ |
| No. of data | 7815 | 7899 | 5911 | 2551 |
| $\theta_{\text {max }}$ | 20.0 | 22.5 | 21.0 | 20.0 |
| No. of unique data ( $I \geq 2.5 \sigma(I)$ ) | 3703 | 3711 | 3985 | 1389 |
| $R$ | 0.088 | 0.051 | 0.040 | 0.041 |
| $k$ | 1 | 0.86 | 1 | 3.8 |
| $g$ | 0.015 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 0.0002 |
| $R_{\text {r }}$ | 0.094 | 0.052 | 0.042 | 0.043 |
| Residual density ( $\mathrm{E}^{\AA^{-3}}$ ) | 3.2 (near $\mathrm{Au}(2)$ ) | 2.3 (near $\mathrm{Au}(2)$ ) | 2.4 (near $\mathrm{Au}(1)$ ) | 0.95 |

Table 8
Fractional atomic coordinates for $\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left(\mu-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}(2)\right.$

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Au}(1)$ | $0.65795(7)$ | $0.24234(9)$ | 0.16661 (7) |
| $\mathrm{Au}(2)$ | 0.79708(7) | $0.24954(8)$ | $0.24363(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(1)$ | $0.7348(1)$ | $0.4002(2)$ | 0.1702(1) |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(2)$ | $0.8205(1)$ | $0.4581(2)$ | $0.2794(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(3)$ | $0.7036(1)$ | $0.3445(2)$ | 0.2623(1) |
| P(1) | 0.5917(5) | 0.1411 (6) | $0.1015(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $0.8550(5)$ | $0.1109(6)$ | 0.2694 (5) |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)$ | 0.8310(4) | $0.4731(5)$ | 0.1925(4) |
| $\mathrm{P}(4)$ | $0.7619(5)$ | $0.4365(6)$ | 0.3371(4) |
| O(11) | 0.647(1) | $0.484(2)$ | 0.061(1) |
| O(12) | 0.761(1) | $0.263(2)$ | $0.0962(10)$ |
| O(21) | $0.938(1)$ | $0.350(2)$ | 0.345 (1) |
| O(22) | 0.884(1) | $0.635(2)$ | $0.338(1)$ |
| O(31) | 0.733(1) | $0.168(2)$ | $0.339(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(32)$ | 0.572(2) | 0.324(3) | 0.259(2) |
| C(1) | $0.678(1)$ | 0.470 (1) | 0.2165(9) |
| C(2) | 0.732(1) | 0.526 (1) | $0.2286(9)$ |
| C(3) | 0.726(1) | $0.620(1)$ | 0.2132(9) |
| C(4) | 0.665(1) | $0.659(1)$ | $0.1858(9)$ |
| C(5) | 0.612(1) | $0.603(1)$ | $0.1737(9)$ |
| C(6) | 0.618(1) | $0.509(1)$ | 0.1890 (9) |
| C(11) | $0.684(2)$ | $0.449(2)$ | $0.103(1)$ |
| C(12) | 0.752(2) | $0.315(2)$ | $0.129(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 0.895(2) | $0.385(3)$ | $0.318(2)$ |
| C(22) | 0.863(2) | 0.573(3) | $0.311(2)$ |
| C(31) | 0.728(2) | $0.227(3)$ | $0.305(2)$ |
| C(32) | 0.619 (3) | $0.334(4)$ | 0.261(3) |
| C(111) | 0.520 (1) | $0.207(2)$ | $0.057(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(112)$ | 0.525(1) | $0.297(2)$ | $0.037(1)$ |
| C(113) | 0.472(1) | $0.341(2)$ | -0.002(1) |
| C(114) | 0.413(1) | $0.296(2)$ | -0.021(1) |
| C(115) | 0.408(1) | $0.206(2)$ | -0.001(1) |
| C(116) | 0.462(1) | $0.162(2)$ | $0.038(1)$ |
| C(121) | 0.564(1) | 0.044(1) | $0.129(1)$ |
| C(122) | 0.546(1) | $0.062(1)$ | $0.174(1)$ |
| C(123) | 0.525(1) | -0.011(1) | $0.199(1)$ |
| C(124) | 0.522(1) | -0.102(1) | $0.179(1)$ |
| C(125) | 0.540(1) | -0.121(1) | $0.134(1)$ |
| C(126) | 0.561(1) | -0.048(1) | $0.109(1)$ |
| C(131) | 0.631(1) | $0.087(2)$ | $0.060(1)$ |
| C(132) | 0.686 (1) | $0.035(2)$ | $0.085(1)$ |
| C(133) | 0.713(1) | -0.009(2) | 0.051(1) |
| C(134) | 0.685(1) | -0.001(2) | -0.007(1) |
| C(135) | 0.629(1) | $0.050(2)$ | -0.033(1) |
| C(136) | 0.602(1) | $0.094(2)$ | 0.001(1) |
| C(211) | $0.8070(9)$ | $0.012(1)$ | 0.2733(9) |
| C(212) | $0.8384(9)$ | -0.069(1) | $0.3013(9)$ |
| C(213) | $0.8038(9)$ | -0.146(1) | $0.3060(9)$ |
| C(214) | $0.7378(9)$ | -0.144(1) | 0.2826(9) |
| C(215) | $0.7064(9)$ | -0.063(1) | $0.2546(9)$ |
| C(216) | $0.7410(9)$ | 0.014 (1) | 0.2499(9) |
| C(221) | 0.890(1) | $0.071(1)$ | $0.2208(8)$ |
| C(222) | 0.946 (1) | $0.019(1)$ | $0.2403(8)$ |
| C(223) | 0.971 (1) | -0.014(1) | 0.2021 (8) |
| C(224) | 0.940(1) | $0.004(1)$ | $0.1444(8)$ |
| C(225) | 0.884(1) | $0.056(1)$ | 0.1249 (8) |
| C(226) | 0.859(1) | $0.089(1)$ | $0.1630(8)$ |
| C(231) | 0.916 (1) | $0.117(2)$ | $0.3388(9)$ |
| C(232) | $0.902(1)$ | $0.092(2)$ | 0.3849 (9) |
| C(233) | 0.947 (1) | $0.102(2)$ | 0.4399 (9) |
| C(234) | $1.007(1)$ | $0.138(2)$ | 0.4487 (9) |
| C(235) | 1.021(1) | $0.163(2)$ | 0.4026 (9) |

Table 8 (continued)

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C(236) | $0.976(1)$ | $0.152(2)$ | $0.3477(9)$ |
| C(311) | $0.8888(9)$ | $0.415(1)$ | $0.1701(9)$ |
| C(312) | $0.9148(9)$ | $0.331(1)$ | $0.1969(9)$ |
| C(313) | $0.9614(9)$ | $0.285(1)$ | $0.1840(9)$ |
| C(314) | $0.9821(9)$ | $0.324(1)$ | $0.1442(9)$ |
| C(315) | $0.9561(9)$ | $0.408(1)$ | $0.1173(9)$ |
| C(316) | $0.9095(9)$ | $0.453(1)$ | $0.1303(9)$ |
| C(321) | $0.837(1)$ | $0.592(1)$ | $0.167(1)$ |
| C(322) | $0.882(1)$ | $0.654(1)$ | $0.202(1)$ |
| C(323) | $0.883(1)$ | $0.748(1)$ | $0.186(1)$ |
| C(324) | $0.839(1)$ | $0.779(1)$ | $0.134(1)$ |
| C(325) | $0.793(1)$ | $0.717(1)$ | $0.099(1)$ |
| C(326) | $0.793(1)$ | $0.623(1)$ | $0.115(1)$ |
| C(411) | $0.798(2)$ | $0.384(2)$ | $0.405(1)$ |
| C(412) | $0.753(2)$ | $0.346(2)$ | $0.423(1)$ |
| C(413) | $0.772(2)$ | $0.294(2)$ | $0.473(1)$ |
| C(414) | $0.837(2)$ | $0.281(2)$ | $0.505(1)$ |
| C(415) | $0.882(2)$ | $0.319(2)$ | $0.487(1)$ |
| C(416) | $0.862(2)$ | $0.371(2)$ | $0.437(1)$ |
| C(421) | $0.729(2)$ | $0.544(2)$ | $0.352(1)$ |
| C(422) | $0.769(2)$ | $0.602(2)$ | $0.394(1)$ |
| C(423) | $0.745(2)$ | $0.680(2)$ | $0.412(1)$ |
| C(424) | $0.681(2)$ | $0.700(2)$ | $0.388(1)$ |
| C(425) | $0.640(2)$ | $0.641(2)$ | $0.346(1)$ |
| C(426) | $0.664(2)$ | $0.563(2)$ | $0.328(1)$ |

$\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran (10 ml ). After stirring for 10 min and evaporation of the solvent, preparative TLC (acetone-light petroleum 3/7) gave three bands. Band 1 (yellow, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.83$ ) (trace) was identified as unreacted $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}(\mathrm{IR} \nu(\mathrm{CO})$ spectrum); band 2 (orange, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.48$ ) was crystallised $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{MeOH})$ to give $10(16 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%)$, identified from its IR $\nu(\mathrm{CO})$ spectrum; band 3 (colourless, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.42$ ) was crystallised $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{EtOH}\right)$ to give white crystals of $\mathrm{AuCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ (identified by comparison with an authentic sample).
4.3.10. Preparation of $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{11}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left[\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3} \mathrm{l}_{2}\right\}\right.$ (11)

As in 4.3.9, to a stirred solution of $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}(29$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.032 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran $(15 \mathrm{ml})$ were added $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left[\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right]\right]_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right](34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0 .32 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $[\mathrm{ppn}]\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right](23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.032 \mathrm{mmol})$ giving an immediate colour change from pale yellow to orange. After evaporation to dryness, preparative TLC (acetone-light petroleum $3 / 7$ ) showed one major band (orange, $R_{f}$ $0.50)$, which was extracted and crystallised $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\right.$ MeOH ) to give $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{11}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left[\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right]_{2}\right\}$ (11) (26 $\mathrm{mg}, 53 \%)$. H NMR: $\delta\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.79$ (d, $J(\mathrm{PH}) 13.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{OMe}$ ).

### 4.3.11. Preparation of $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{2}$ (I2)

Similarly, to a stirred solution of $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{11}(\mathrm{NCMe})$ ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.046 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in tetrahydrofuran ( 17 ml ) were added $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right](68 \mathrm{mg}, 0.046 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $[\mathrm{ppn}]\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right](32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.045 \mathrm{mmol})$. The colour of the
solution changed immediately from yellow to yellow green, all reactants having dissolved after about 15 min . After 1.5 h at room temperature, the solution was dark green. After evaporation to dryness, preparative TLC (acetone-light petroleum 3/7) separated seven bands from a red-brown baseline. Band 2 (colourless, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.63$ ) contained $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ (IR $\nu(\mathrm{CO})$ spectrum and spot TLC). Band 3 (green, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.57$ ) was crystallised $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ to give $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{2}$ (12) ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \%$ ).
4.3.12. Reaction between $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{H})_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}$ and $\mathrm{IO}\{\mathrm{Au}$ $\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right]_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ - $\left[\mathrm{ppn} / / \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$

A mixture of $\left[\mathrm{O}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right](110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.074$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $[\mathrm{ppn}]\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right](53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{H})_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(63 \mathrm{mg}, 0.074$ mmol ) in tetrahydrofuran ( 20 ml ) giving an immediate colour change from purple to green-brown. After stirring for 7 min the solvent was removed. Preparative TLC (acetone-light petroleum 3/7) gave seven bands and a brown baseline. Band 1 (green, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.60$ ) was crystallised $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MePh}\right)$ to give $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{H})(\mathrm{CO})_{10^{-}}$ $\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}(13)(15 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \%)$. Band 3 (green-yellow, $\left.R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.43\right)$ was crystallised $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ to give $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{2}(12)(21 \mathrm{mg}, 16 \%)$ (IR $\nu(\mathrm{CO})$ spectrum and spot TLC). Band 5 (yellow, $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.31$ ) was crystallised $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ to give $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{H})_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}-$ $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{2}(14)(12 \mathrm{mg}, 8 \%)$. ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\Delta$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)-17.04(\mathrm{t}, J(\mathrm{PH}) 15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ru}-H),-7.01$ (d, $J(\mathrm{PH}) 12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ru}-H), 7.16-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 45 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph})$.

Table 9
Fractional atomic coordinates for $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left\{\mu_{3}-\mathrm{PPhCH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-2\right)\right\}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right)_{2}\right\} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (5)

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Au}(1)$ | 0.39160(6) | $0.13315(3)$ | $0.26250(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Au}(2)$ | $0.39667(5)$ | 0.232933 (3) | $0.36580(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(1)$ | 0.1927(1) | $0.19233(6)$ | 0.29010(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(2)$ | 0.1894(1) | $0.23327(6)$ | $0.44229(6)$ |
| Ru(3) | $0.32118(9)$ | $0.11248(6)$ | 0.40635(6) |
| Cl(1) | 0.8663(9) | $0.4964(6)$ | $0.5380(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | 0.8817(9) | $0.3936(6)$ | 0.6462(9) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 0.5012(4) | 0.0925 (2) | $0.1825(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 0.5409(4) | $0.3009(3)$ | 0.3731(3) |
| $P(3)$ | 0.1568 (3) | 0.0893(2) | 0.3390 (2) |
| $P(4)$ | 0.0956 (3) | $0.1367(2)$ | $0.4752(2)$ |
| O(11) | -0.040(1) | $0.2334(7)$ | $0.3089(7)$ |
| O(12) | 0.141 (1) | $0.1468(7)$ | $0.1332(7)$ |
| O(13) | 0.245(1) | $0.3301(6)$ | $0.2332(7)$ |
| O(21) | 0.270 (1) | $0.3697(6)$ | $0.4025(7)$ |
| O(22) | $0.328(1)$ | $0.2305(7)$ | $0.5880(7)$ |
| O(23) | $-0.006(1)$ | $0.3149(7)$ | $0.4695(8)$ |
| O(31) | $0.4120(9)$ | -0.0178(6) | $0.3692(7)$ |
| O(32) | 0.522(1) | $0.1470(6)$ | $0.5082(7)$ |
| O(111) | $0.565(1)$ | $0.0330(7)$ | 0.2131(7) |
| O(112) | $0.584(2)$ | 0.1371(8) | $0.156(1)$ |
| O(113) | $0.451(2)$ | $0.064(1)$ | $0.1105(9)$ |
| O(211) | $0.653(1)$ | $0.2718(8)$ | $0.3503(9)$ |
| O(212) | $0.533(1)$ | $0.3674(7)$ | 0.3273 (10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(213)$ | 0.560(2) | $0.332(1)$ | 0.4547(9) |
| C(1) | 0.181(1) | $0.0798(7)$ | $0.5287(7)$ |
| C(2) | 0.279(1) | 0.0654(6) | 0.5009(7) |
| C(3) | 0.349(1) | 0.0189(7) | $0.5412(8)$ |
| C(4) | 0.320 (1) | -0.0058(8) | $0.6074(9)$ |
| C(5) | $0.228(1)$ | 0.0101(8) | $0.6357(8)$ |
| C(6) | $0.158(1)$ | $0.0545(7)$ | $0.5956(8)$ |
| C(11) | 0.050(2) | 0.2226(9) | 0.310(1) |
| C(12) | $0.164(1)$ | $0.1638(8)$ | $0.1945(9)$ |
| C(13) | $0.235(2)$ | 0.2772(9) | 0.2571 (9) |
| C(21) | 0.249(2) | 0.3156(8) | $0.4146(9)$ |
| C(22) | $0.278(1)$ | $0.2283(7)$ | $0.5317(9)$ |
| C(23) | 0.069(2) | $0.2847(10)$ | 0.4591(10) |
| C(31) | 0.379(1) | 0.0323(8) | $0.3802(8)$ |
| C(32) | $0.450(1)$ | $0.1405(7)$ | 0.4648 (9) |
| C(100) | 0.943(2) | 0.445(1) | 0.590(2) |
| C(111) | $0.641(2)$ | -0.006(1) | $0.172(1)$ |
| C(112) | 0.595(3) | $0.203(2)$ | 0.153(2) |
| C(113) | $0.361(2)$ | $0.074(2)$ | $0.064(2)$ |
| C(211) | $0.695(3)$ | 0.208(2) | $0.367(2)$ |
| C(212) | $0.515(2)$ | 0.363 (1) | 0.244(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(213)^{2}$ | 0.648(4) | $0.384(2)$ | 0.477 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(213^{\prime}\right)^{\text {a }}$ | $0.712(6)$ | $0.343(3)$ | 0.479 (4) |
| C(331) | $0.1320(7)$ | $0.0170(6)$ | 0.2778 (6) |
| C(332) | 0.0325(7) | -0.0154(6) | $0.2716(6)$ |
| C(333) | $0.0152(7)$ | -0.0705(6) | $0.2261(6)$ |
| C(334) | $0.0975(7)$ | -0.0932(6) | 0.1867(6) |
| C(335) | $0.1970(7)$ | -0.0608(6) | $0.1928(6)$ |
| C(336) | 0.2143 (7) | -0.0057(6) | $0.2383(6)$ |
| C(341) | $0.045(1)$ | 0.0868(7) | $0.3968(7)$ |
| C(411) | -0.0199(8) | $0.1487(5)$ | $0.5266(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(412)$ | -0.1123(8) | $0.1094(5)$ | $0.5143(5)$ |
| C(413) | -0.1942(8) | $0.1150(5)$ | $0.5601(5)$ |
| C(414) | -0.1837(8) | $0.1599(5)$ | 0.6182(5) |
| C(415) | -0.0914(8) | 0.1992 (5) | $0.6306(5)$ |
| C(416) | -0.0095(8) | $0.1937(5)$ | 0.5848(5) |

[^4]Table 10
Fractional atomic coordinates for $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NPh}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{9}\left\{\mathrm{Au}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}$ (6)

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Au}(1)$ | 0.10371(4) | $-0.18540(2)$ | $0.30955(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Au}(2)$ | $0.26410(4)$ | -0.12269(2) | $0.25920(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(1)$ | $0.30623(7)$ | -0.23016(4) | $0.36386(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(2)$ | $0.40058(7)$ | -0.22057(4) | $0.25526(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(3)$ | $0.18508(7)$ | -0.24292(4) | $0.20494(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | -0.0430(2) | -0.1474(2) | $0.3305(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $0.2472(2)$ | $-0.0176(1)$ | $0.2256(2)$ |
| O(11) | 0.1923(8) | -0.3027(4) | $0.4552(6)$ |
| O(12) | 0.3261(7) | -0.1124(5) | $0.4617(6)$ |
| O(13) | 0.5172(8) | -0.2698(5) | $0.4660(6)$ |
| O(21) | 0.6085(8) | -0.2868(5) | $0.3183(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(22)$ | $0.4015(8)$ | -0.2268(6) | $0.0935(6)$ |
| O(23) | 0.5213(8) | -0.0976(5) | $0.2908(8)$ |
| O(31) | 0.0041(9) | -0.3224(5) | 0.2151(7) |
| O(32) | 0.1847(8) | -0.3121(5) | $0.0631(6)$ |
| O(33) | 0.0498(8) | -0.1418(5) | $0.1032(6)$ |
| N(1) | $0.3090(7)$ | -0.2908(4) | 0.2767(5) |
| C(1) | $0.3289(6)$ | -0.3575(3) | 0.2788(4) |
| C(2) | $0.3067(6)$ | -0.3957(3) | 0.3325(4) |
| C(3) | $0.3245(6)$ | -0.4605(3) | 0.3329(4) |
| C(4) | $0.3644(6)$ | -0.4870(3) | 0.2796(4) |
| C(5) | $0.3866(6)$ | $-0.4488(3)$ | 0.2259(4) |
| C(6) | 0.3688(6) | $-0.3840(3)$ | 0.2255(4) |
| C(11) | 0.228(1) | -0.2750(6) | 0.4177(7) |
| C(12) | $0.3158(10)$ | -0.1547(6) | 0.4227(7) |
| C(13) | $0.439(1)$ | -0.2546(6) | $0.4255(8)$ |
| C(21) | 0.529 (1) | -0.2629(6) | 0.2970(8) |
| C(22) | $0.397(1)$ | -0.2261(7) | $0.1536(9)$ |
| C(23) | 0.470(1) | -0.1407(6) | 0.2738(9) |
| C(31) | $0.0733(10)$ | -0.2912(6) | 0.2141 (7) |
| C(32) | $0.1906(10)$ | -0.2855(6) | $0.1158(8)$ |
| C(33) | $0.100(1)$ | -0.1779(6) | $0.1444(8)$ |
| C(111) | -0.0901(8) | -0.1912(5) | 0.3954(5) |
| C(112) | -0.1495(8) | -0.1609(5) | 0.4337(5) |
| C(113) | -0.1901(8) | -0.1952(5) | 0.4816 (5) |
| C(114) | -0.1713(8) | -0.2597(5) | 0.4911(5) |
| C(115) | -0.1119(8) | -0.2900(5) | 0.4529(5) |
| C(116) | -0.0713(8) | -0.2557(5) | 0.4050(5) |
| C(121) | -0.0287(6) | -0.06б8(3) | $0.3633(5)$ |
| C(122) | $0.0695(6)$ | -0.0469(3) | $0.4078(5)$ |
| C(123) | 0.0847(6) | $0.0151(3)$ | 0.4340 (5) |
| C(124) | 0.0018(6) | $0.0572(3)$ | $0.4155(5)$ |
| C(125) | -0.0964(6) | 0.0373(3) | $0.3710(5)$ |
| C(126) | -0.1116(6) | -0.0246(3) | 0.3449(5) |
| C(131) | -0.1530(5) | -0.1460(4) | 0.2441(4) |
| C(132) | -0.2479(5) | -0.1735(4) | 0.2393(4) |
| C(133) | -0.3296(5) | -0.1716(4) | $0.1714(4)$ |
| C(134) | -0.3164(5) | $-0.1420(4)$ | 0.1083(4) |
| C(135) | -0.2215(5) | -0.1145(4) | 0.1131(4) |
| C(136) | -0.1398(5) | -0.1164(4) | 0.1810 (4) |
| C(211) | $0.3159(6)$ | -0.0021(4) | $0.1578(4)$ |
| C(212) | $0.3513(6)$ | 0.0582(4) | $0.1488(4)$ |
| C(213) | $0.3936(6)$ | 0.0699 (4) | $0.0912(4)$ |
| C(214) | $0.4006(6)$ | 0.0214(4) | 0.0425(4) |
| C(215) | 0.3652(6) | -0.0388(4) | $0.0516(4)$ |
| C(216) | 0.3229(6) | $-0.0506(4)$ | $0.1092(4)$ |
| C(221) | 0.1183(5) | $0.0114(4)$ | $0.1778(5)$ |
| C(222) | $0.0877(5)$ | $0.0302(4)$ | $0.1022(5)$ |
| C(223) | -0.0123(5) | $0.0530(4)$ | $0.0676(5)$ |
| C(224) | -0.0817(5) | 0.0571(4) | $0.1086(5)$ |
| C(225) | -0.0511(5) | $0.0383(4)$ | $0.1842(5)$ |
| C(226) | 0.0489(5) | 0.0154(4) | 0.2188(5) |

Table 10 (continued)

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C(231)$ | $0.3009(6)$ | $0.0398(4)$ | $0.3012(5)$ |
| C(232) | $0.3875(6)$ | $0.0217(4)$ | $0.3611(5)$ |
| C(233) | $0.4361(6)$ | $0.0649(4)$ | $0.4176(5)$ |
| C(234) | $0.3981(6)$ | $0.1263(4)$ | $0.4142(5)$ |
| C(235) | $0.3116(6)$ | $0.1444(4)$ | $0.3543(5)$ |
| C(236) | $0.2630(6)$ | $0.1012(4)$ | $0.2978(5)$ |

## 5. Crystallography

Intensity data for $2,5,6$ and 9 were measured at room temperature on an Enraf-Nonius CAD4F diffractometer fitted with Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda 0.7107 \AA$ )
employing the $\omega-2 \theta$ scan technique. Corrections were applied for absorption effects and only those data which satisfied the $I \geq 2.5 \sigma(I)$ criterion were employed in the analysis. Crystal data are given in Table 7. The structures were each solved by direct-methods [43] and

Table 11
Fractional atomic coordinates for $\mathrm{Ru}_{6} \mathrm{C}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{14}\left(\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{2} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(9)$

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Au}(1)$ | 0.33954(9) | -0.14431(4) | 0.42311 (8) |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(1)$ | 0.5504(2) | -0.06046(7) | 0.4012(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(2)$ | 0.3372(2) | -0.05133(7) | $0.5446(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}(3)$ | 0.6362(2) | -0.03908(7) | 0.5957(2) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $0.2230(6)$ | -0.2251(3) | $0.3602(5)$ |
| O(11) | $0.768(2)$ | -0.0051(7) | 0.287(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)$ | $0.728(2)$ | -0.1700(8) | 0.370(1) |
| O(13) | $0.404(2)$ | -0.0790(7) | 0.213(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(21)$ | 0.359(2) | -0.1612(7) | 0.661(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(22)$ | $0.022(2)$ | -0.0726(7) | $0.505(1)$ |
| O(31) | 0.911 (2) | $0.0138(7)$ | 0.681(1) |
| O(32) | 0.786 (2) | -0.1572(8) | 0.574(1) |
| O(33) | 0.552(2) | -0.0693(7) | 0.781(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)^{\text {a }}$ | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 |
| C(11) | 0.702(3) | -0.005(1) | 0.351(2) |
| C(12) | 0.657(3) | -0.128(1) | 0.383(2) |
| C(13) | $0.457(2)$ | -0.0743(9) | 0.286(2) |
| C(21) | $0.343(2)$ | -0.1211(10) | 0.612(2) |
| C(22) | $0.142(3)$ | $-0.0644(10)$ | $0.520(2)$ |
| C(31) | $0.806(3)$ | -0.006(1) | $0.642(2)$ |
| C(32) | 0.726 (3) | -0.112(1) | 0.578 (2) |
| C(33) | $0.590(3)$ | -0.058(1) | $0.709(2)$ |
| C(111) | 0.343 (2) | -0.2832(6) | $0.332(1)$ |
| C(112) | $0.460(2)$ | -0.2673(6) | $0.291(1)$ |
| C(113) | $0.556(2)$ | -0.3131(6) | $0.265(1)$ |
| C(114) | $0.531(2)$ | -0.3707(6) | $0.283(1)$ |
| C(115) | $0.414(2)$ | -0.3870(6) | $0.322(1)$ |
| C(116) | 0.321 (2) | -0.3447(6) | $0.350(1)$ |
| C(121) | $0.119(2)$ | -0.2026(7) | $0.257(1)$ |
| C(122) | $0.033(2)$ | -0.1525(7) | $0.259(1)$ |
| C(123) | -0.041(2) | -0.1320(7) | $0.179(1)$ |
| C(124) | -0.028(2) | -0.1616(7) | $0.097(1)$ |
| C(125) | $0.058(2)$ | -0.2117(7) | $0.095(1)$ |
| C(126) | 0.132(2) | -0.2323(7) | $0.175(1)$ |
| C(131) | $0.097(2)$ | -0.2604(6) | $0.428(1)$ |
| C(132) | -0.032(2) | -0.2832(6) | $0.390(1)$ |
| C(133) | -0.119(2) | -0.3145(6) | $0.445(1)$ |
| C(134) | -0.078(2) | -0.3230(6) | $0.538(1)$ |
| C(135) | $0.051(2)$ | -0.3003(6) | $0.576(1)$ |
| C(136) | $0.139(2)$ | -0.2690(6) | $0.521(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)^{\text {a }}$ | $0.351(3)$ | $0.048(1)$ | $0.965(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)^{\text {a }}$ | $0.165(4)$ | -0.033(1) | $1.014(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)^{\text {a }}$ | $0.315(10)$ | -0.016(4) | $0.952(7)$ |

[^5]refined by a full-matrix least squares procedure based on $F$ [43]. Anisotropic thermal parameters were employed (2: Au, Ru, P; 5: non-H; 6: non-H, non-phenyl; 9: $\mathrm{Au}, \mathrm{Ru}, \mathrm{P}$ ) and H atoms were included in their calculated positions except for the refinement of 9 . After the inclusion of a weighting scheme of the form $k /\left[\sigma^{2}(F)+g F^{2}\right]$, the refinements were continued until convergence; final refinement details are given in Table 7. Fractional atomic coordinates are listed in Tables 8 to 11 and the numbering schemes employed are shown in Figs. 1 to 4 which were drawn at $25 \%$ probability ellipsoids with the ORTEP program [44]. Scattering factors (corrected for $f^{\prime}$ and $f^{\prime \prime}$ ) were those incorporated in shelx76 or from Ref. [45]. H-atom parameters, a complete list of bond distances and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.
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[^0]:    * Corresponding author.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{dec}=$ decomposed. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} 0.5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solvate.

[^2]:    $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$ as appropriate; $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}=\mathrm{M}-6 \mathrm{CO} .{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{M}$ relates to highest ion series. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Ref. [15] $2111 \mathrm{~m}, 2067 \mathrm{~m}, 2031 \mathrm{~s}, 2020(\mathrm{sh}), 2000 \mathrm{~m}, 1978(\mathrm{sh}), 1955(\mathrm{sh}), 1931(\mathrm{sh})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right) .{ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{Ref}$. [15] 2067w, 2012s, 1977m, 1965w, 1937m. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Ref. [18] 2090w, 2047s, 2040m, 2008s, 1996m, 1977m (hexane).

[^3]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ In $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; external reference $0.01 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}$ in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\delta 0.8)$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{a}$ Atom has $50 \%$ site occupancy.

[^5]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Atom has $50 \%$ site occupancy.

